arXiv:1601.06218v1 [math.OA] 23 Jan 2016

CB-FRAMES FOR OPERATOR SPACES

RUI LIU AND ZHONG-JIN RUAN

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of cb-frames for operator spaces. We show that there is a concrete cb-frame for the reduced free group C^*-algebra $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which is derived from the infinite convex decomposition of the biorthogonal system $(\lambda_s, \delta_s)_{s\in\mathbb{F}_2}$. We show that, in general, a separable operator space X has a cb-frame if and only if it has the completely bounded approximation property if and only if it is completely isomorphic to a completely complemented subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis. Therefore, a discrete group Γ is weakly amenable if and only if the reduced group C*-algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ has a cb-frame. Finally, we show that, in contrast to Banach space case, there exists a separable operator space, which can not be completely isomorphic to a subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis.

1. Introduction

In [10], Junge, Nielsen, Ruan and Xu introduced the notion of cb-basis for operator spaces. Let us recall that a separable operator space X has a *cb-basis* if X has a Schauder basis (e_n) and the natural projections

$$P_m\big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n e_n\big) = \sum_{n=1}^{m}\alpha_n e_n \tag{1.1}$$

satisfy $\sup_m \|P_m\|_{cb} < \infty$. It is shown in [10] that every separable nuclear C^*-algebra has a cb-basis. In particular, the reduced group C^*-algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ of an amenable group Γ has a cb-basis.

It is clear from the definition that if a separable operator space X has a cb-basis, then it must have the *completely bounded approximation property* (*CBAP*), i.e. there exists a sequence of finite-rank maps $\Phi_k : X \to X$ such that $\sup_k \|\Phi_k\|_{cb} < \infty$ and $\Phi_k(x) \to x$ for every $x \in X$. It is natural to ask whether CBAP implies cb-basis. This is not true for general operator spaces since there exist a separable Banach space X which has the *bounded approximation property* (*BAP*), but has no Schauder basis. Then MIN(X), the space X equipped with the MIN-operator space structure, is an operator space with CBAP, but no cb-basis. However the problem is still open for

Key words and phrases. completely bounded approximation property, cb-basis, cb-frame, operator space, reduced group C^*-algebra.

The first author was partially supported by the NSFC 11101220 and 11201336. The second author was partially supported by the Simons Foundation.

separable C*-algebras. In particular, it is not known whether $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ has a cb-basis if Γ is a weakly amenable discrete group.

In [8], Han and Larson introduced the concept of frames as a compression of a basis. It is a generalization of dual frame pairs from Hilbert spaces to Banach spaces. In [2], Casazza, Han and Larson showed that a separable Banach space has the BAP if and only if it has a frame. Motivated by these results, we can consider cb-frames for operator spaces. Here is the definition. Let X be an operator space and X^* be its canonical operator dual. A sequence $(x_n, f_n) \subset X \times X^*$ is a *cb-frame* for X if (x_n, f_n) is a frame, i.e.

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(x_n \otimes f_n)(x)$$

for all $x \in X$, and the initial sums

$$S_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} x_n \otimes f_n$$

define completely bounded maps on X with $\sup_m \|S_m\|_{cb} < \infty$.

In section 2, we show that there is a natural cb-frame for $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which is derived from the infinite convex decomposition of the biorthogonal system $(\lambda_s, \delta_s)_{s\in\mathbb{F}_2}$. Here λ is the left regular representation of $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\delta_s \in B_r(\mathbb{F}_2) = C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)^*$ is the characteristic function at $s \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

In Section 3, we prove some equivalent conditions for general operator spaces. We show in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 that a separable operator space X has a cb-frame if and only if it has the CBAP if and only if it is completely isomorphic to a completely complemented subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis. These are natural operator space analogues of corresponding Banach space results by Casazza, Han and Larson [2], Johnson, Rosenthal and Zippin [9], and Pełczyński [14]. We also show in Remark 3.4 that the cb-basis constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.2 is minimal.

It is known from the Banach-Mazur theorem that any separable Banach space can be isometrically embedded into $C[0, 1]$, which is a separable Banach space with a Schauder basis. So it is natural to ask whether the corresponding result holds for general operator spaces. Using the Hilbertian operator space X_0 constructed by Oikhberg and Ricard [13], we show in Theorem 4.5 that this is false for operator spaces.

2. Cb-frame for $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$

Let $\mathbb{F}_2$ be the free group of two generators and let λ be the left regular representation of $\mathbb{F}_2$. The reduced group C^*-algebra $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is defined to be the norm-closure of

span$\{\lambda_s | s \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$ in $B(\ell_2(\mathbb{F}_2))$. It is well-known (see [6, 7]) that $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has the completely contractive approximation property (CCAP). The main result in this section is the following theorem, in which we show that $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has a cb-frame.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a cb-frame (x_n, f_n) for $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which is derived from the infinite convex decomposition of the biorthogonal system $(\lambda_s, \delta_s)_{s\in\mathbb{F}_2}$. More precisely, there is a surjective map $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ and a sequence of positive scalars (a_n) satisfying*

(i) $x_n = \lambda_{\varphi(n)}, f_n = a_n\delta_{\varphi(n)}$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{n\in\varphi^{-1}(s)} a_n = 1$ *for all* $s \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

The proof will be carried out in the following arguments and lemmas. Let us first recall from [6] that the word length function $s \in \mathbb{F}_2 \mapsto |s| \in [0, \infty)$ is conditionally negative definite on $\mathbb{F}_2$, and thus by Schoenburg's theorem the map $\varphi_t(s) = \mathrm{e}^{-t|s|}$ is a positive definite function (with $\varphi_t(e) = 1$) on $\mathbb{F}_2$ for any $t > 0$. This gives us a family of unital completely positive maps $(\Phi_t)_{t>0}$ on $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ such that

$$\Phi_t(\lambda_s) = \varphi_t(s)\lambda_s = \mathrm{e}^{-t|s|}\lambda_s \tag{2.1}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{F}_2$. It is clear from (2.1) that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \|\Phi_t(\lambda_s) - \lambda_s\| = 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Then $(\Phi_t)_{t>0}$ is a family of unital completely positive maps converging to the identity on $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in the point-norm topology.

Let $W_d = \{s \in \mathbb{F}_2 : |s| = d\}$ be the set of all words in $\mathbb{F}_2$ with length d, and let χ_{W_d} be the characteristic function on W_d. Then

$$P_d : \lambda_s \in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \mapsto \lambda_s\chi_{W_d}(s) \in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

is the finite-rank projection onto the subspace $E_d = \mathrm{span}\{\lambda_s : |s| = d\}$. It is known (see [1, 15]) that this projection is completely bounded with $\|P_d\|_{cb} \le 2d$. For each $t > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get a completely bounded finite-rank map

$$\Phi_{t,m} = \Phi_t(P_0 + \cdots + P_m)$$

from $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ onto $\sum_{d=0}^m E_d = \mathrm{span}\{\lambda_s : |s| \le m\}$. We can easily obtain the following lemma, which is known by experts in the fields. We include a calculation for the convenience of readers.

Lemma 2.2. *For each $t > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\|\Phi_t - \Phi_{t,m}\|_{cb} \le 2\sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-td}d \to 0 \qquad (\text{as } m \to \infty).$$

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|s|=d} a(s)\otimes\lambda_s$ be an element in $B(\ell_2)\otimes C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with finitely many $a(s)$ nonzero. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\mathrm{id}_{B(\ell_2)}\otimes\Phi_t &- \mathrm{id}_{B(\ell_2)}\otimes\Phi_{t,m})(x)\| = \|\sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\sum_{|s|=d} a(s)\otimes\Phi_t(\lambda_s)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\|\sum_{|s|=d} a(s)\otimes \mathrm{e}^{-td}\lambda_s\| = \sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}\|\sum_{|s|=d} a(s)\otimes\lambda_s\| \\ &= \sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}\|(\mathrm{id}_{B(\ell_2)}\otimes P_d)(x)\| \leq \sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}\|P_d\|_{cb}\|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|P_d\|_{cb}\leq 2d$, we can conclude that

$$\|\Phi_t-\Phi_{t,m}\|_{cb}\leq\sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}\|P_d\|_{cb}\leq 2\sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}d.$$

Since $\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}d$ is a convergent positive infinite series, its remainder part converges to 0. Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\|\Phi_t-\Phi_{t,m}\|_{cb}\leq 2\sum_{d=m+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-td}d\to 0.$$

□

According to Lemma 2.2, for each $t>0$, we can find $m\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\Phi_t-\Phi_{t,m}\|_{cb}$ is sufficiently small. Therefore, we can carefully choose a sequence of (monotone decreasing) $t_k\to 0$ and a sequence of (monotone increasing) $m_k\to\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|\Phi_{t_k}-\Phi_{t_k,m_k}\|_{cb}\leq\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{d=m_k+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-t_kd}d=\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-t_k(m_k+2)}}{(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t_k})^2}+\frac{(m_k+1)\,\mathrm{e}^{-t_k(m_k+1)}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-t_k}}=0.$$

For example, we can choose $t_k=1/\sqrt{k}$ and $m_k=k$, and we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{d=k+1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-d/\sqrt{k}}d=0.$$

In this case, the finite-rank maps $\{\Phi_{1/\sqrt{k},k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ (with $\sup_k\|\Phi_{1/\sqrt{k},k}\|_{cb}<\infty$) converge to the identity map on $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in the point-norm topology.

Let $\{\delta_s\}\in B_r(\mathbb{F}_2)=C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)^*$ be the biorthogonal functionals of $\{\lambda_s\}$. For $k=1$, we set

$$\Psi_1(x) \;=\; \Phi_{1,1}(x)=\sum_{|s|\leq 1}\mathrm{e}^{-|s|}\delta_s(x)\lambda_s=\sum_{|s|\leq 1}\big(\lambda_s\otimes\mathrm{e}^{-|s|}\delta_s\big)(x). \tag{2.2}$$

There are 5 terms in (2.2). If we list these 5 terms by the index $1 \leq j \leq 5$ and use $y_{1,j}$ (respectively, $g_{1,j}$) for the corresponding λ_s (respectively, $\mathrm{e}^{-|s|}\delta_s$) in each term, we can write

$$\Psi_1 = \sum_{|s|\leq 1} \big(\lambda_s \otimes \mathrm{e}^{-|s|}\delta_s\big) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} (y_{1,j} \otimes g_{1,j}). \tag{2.3}$$

For $k \geq 2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_k(x) &= (\Phi_{1/\sqrt{k},k} - \Phi_{1/\sqrt{k-1},k-1})(x) \\ &= \sum_{|s|\leq k-1} (\mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}} - \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k-1}})\delta_s(x)\lambda_s + \sum_{|s|=k} \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}}\delta_s(x)\lambda_s \\ &= \sum_{|s|\leq k-1} \big(\lambda_s \otimes (\mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}} - \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k-1}})\delta_s\big)(x) + \sum_{|s|=k} \big(\lambda_s \otimes \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}}\delta_s\big)(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

There are $2\cdot 3^k - 1 = 1+4+4\cdot 3+\cdots+4\cdot 3^{k-1}$ terms in (2.4). So if we list these terms by the index $1 \leq j \leq 2\cdot 3^k - 1$ and we use $y_{k,j}$ (respectively, $g_{k,j}$) for the corresponding λ_s (respectively, $(\mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}} - \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k-1}})\delta_s$ or $\mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}}\delta_s$) in each term, then we can write

$$\Psi_k = \sum_{|s|\leq k-1} \big(\lambda_s \otimes (\mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}} - \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k-1}})\delta_s\big) + \sum_{|s|=k} \big(\lambda_s \otimes \mathrm{e}^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}}\delta_s\big) = \sum_{j=1}^{2\cdot 3^k-1} (y_{k,j} \otimes g_{k,j}). \tag{2.5}$$

This is a sequence of completely bounded maps on $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with

$$\|\Psi_k\|_{cb} = \|\Phi_{1/\sqrt{k},k} - \Phi_{1/\sqrt{k-1},k-1}\|_{cb} \leq 2 \sup_k \|\Phi_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}},k}\|_{cb} \tag{2.6}$$

and for each $x \in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, we have

$$x = \lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi_{1/\sqrt{k},k}(x) = \Phi_{1,1}(x) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\Phi_{1/\sqrt{k},k}(x) - \Phi_{1/\sqrt{k-1},k-1}(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_k(x). \tag{2.7}$$

Now to get a frame, we need to further modify the terms in (2.3) and (2.5) by defining $x_{k,i} = y_{k,j}$ and $f_{k,i} = \frac{g_{k,j}}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}$ when $i = p(2\cdot 3^k - 1) + j$ with $0 \leq p \leq (2\cdot 3^k - 1)^2 - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2\cdot 3^k - 1$. In this case, we can write

$$\Psi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{2\cdot 3^k-1} (y_{k,j} \otimes g_{k,j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^3} (x_{k,i} \otimes f_{k,i}) \tag{2.8}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus for each $x \in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, we have

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{2\cdot 3^k-1} (y_{k,j} \otimes g_{k,j})(x)\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^3} (x_{k,i} \otimes f_{k,i})(x)\Big).$$

Now we need to set up an appropriate order to relate each pair (k,i) with a positive integer n. For $k=1$, we have 5^3 terms related to $(1,i)$. So we simply let $n=i$. For $k\geq 2$, we let $n=\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3+i$ with $1\leq i\leq (2\cdot 3^k-1)^3$.

Lemma 2.3. *If we let $x_n=x_{k,i}$ and $f_n=f_{k,i}$, then (x_n,f_n) is a frame for $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. We need to show that for every $x\in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(x_n\otimes f_n)(x)$ converges to x. Since $x=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Psi_k(x)$ is a convergent series in $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, for arbitrary $\epsilon>0$ there exists $k_0\geq 2$ such that for any $k\geq k_0$

$$\|\sum_{r=k}^{\infty}\Psi_r(x)\|+\|\Psi_k(x)\|+\frac{\|x\|}{2\cdot 3^k-1}<\epsilon.$$

For any $m>m_0=\sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1}(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3$, we can write $m=\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3+j$ for some $k\geq k_0$ and $1\leq j\leq (2\cdot 3^k-1)^3$. In this case, there exists $0\leq p\leq (2\cdot 3^k-1)^2-1$ and $1\leq q\leq 2\cdot 3^k-1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x-\sum_{n=1}^{m}(x_n\otimes f_n)(x)\|\\
=\ &\|x-\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3}(x_{r,i}\otimes f_{r,i})(x)-\sum_{t=1}^{j}(x_{k,t}\otimes f_{k,t})(x)\|\\
=\ &\|\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\Psi_r(x)-\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}\Psi_r(x)-\frac{p}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\Psi_k(x)-\frac{1}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}(y_{k,i}\otimes g_{k,i})(x)\|\\
\leq\ &\|\sum_{r=k}^{\infty}\Psi_r(x)\|+\|\Psi_k(x)\|+\frac{\|x\|}{2\cdot 3^k-1}<\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(x_n\otimes f_n)(x)$ for every $x\in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$. □

Lemma 2.4. *The sequence (x_n,f_n) is a cb-frame for $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. We need to show that the initial sums $S_m=\sum_{n=1}^{m}(x_n\otimes f_n)$ are completely bounded maps on $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with $\sup\|S_m\|_{cb}<\infty$. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer. As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.3 that we can write $m=\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3+j$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $1\leq j\leq (2\cdot 3^k-1)^3$, and there exists $0\leq p\leq (2\cdot 3^k-1)^2-1$

and $1 \leq q \leq 2 \cdot 3^k - 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_m\|_{cb} &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{m} x_n \otimes f_n\|_{cb} = \|\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3}(x_{r,i}\otimes f_{r,i}) + \sum_{t=1}^{j}(x_{k,t}\otimes f_{k,t})\|_{cb} \\
&= \|\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}\Psi_r + \frac{p}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\Psi_k + \frac{1}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}(y_{k,i}\otimes g_{k,i})\|_{cb} \\
&\leq \|\Phi_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k-1}},k-1}\|_{cb} + \frac{p}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\|\Psi_k\|_{cb} + \frac{2\cdot 3^k-1}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2} \\
&\leq 3\cdot \sup_k \|\Phi_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}},k}\|_{cb} + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we let us recall from (2.3), (2.5) and (2.8) that we can write

$$\Psi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{5^3}(x_n\otimes f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{5^3}(x_{1,i}\otimes f_{1,i}) = \sum_{l=1}^{5^2}(\sum_{j=1}^{5}(y_{1,j}^l \otimes \frac{g_{1,j}^l}{5^2}))$$

with $y_{1,j}^l = y_{1,j} = \lambda_s$ and $g_{1,j}^l = g_{1,j} = \frac{e^{-|s|}}{5^2}\delta_s$ for corresponding $|s| \leq 1$. For $k \geq 2$, we can write

$$\Psi_k = \sum_{n=\sum_{r=1}^{k-1}(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3+1}^{\sum_{r=1}^{k}(2\cdot 3^r-1)^3}(x_n\otimes f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^3}(x_{k,i}\otimes f_{k,i}) = \sum_{l=1}^{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}(\sum_{j=1}^{2\cdot 3^k-1}(y_{k,j}^l\otimes \frac{g_{k,j}^l}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}))$$

with $y_{k,j}^l = y_{k,j} = \lambda_s$ and $g_{k,j}^l = g_{k,j} = \frac{(e^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}} - e^{-|s|/\sqrt{k-1}})}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\delta_s$ or $\frac{e^{-|s|/\sqrt{k}}}{(2\cdot 3^k-1)^2}\delta_s$ for corresponding $|s| \leq k$. So for each $s \in \mathbb{F}_2$, there are many positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n = \lambda_s$. We let $\varphi : n \in \mathbb{N} \to s \in \mathbb{F}_2$ be the map such that $x_n = \lambda_s$, and let a_n be the coefficient for the corresponding δ_s. Then it is easy to see that statement (i) and (ii) in theorem hold true. □

Remark 2.5. The cb-frame (x_n, f_n) for $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in Theorem 2.1 is not unconditional, that is, the series $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)x_n$ does not converge unconditionally in norm for each x in $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (see [2]). If it is unconditional, then for each $x \in C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ the infinite series

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(x_n\otimes f_n)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\delta_{\varphi(n)}(x)\lambda_{\varphi(n)}$$

converges unconditionally. In this case, we can rearrange its order such that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\delta_{\varphi(n)}(x)\lambda_{\varphi(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\sum_{|s|=k}(\sum_{\varphi(n)=s} a_n)\delta_s(x)\lambda_s). \tag{2.9}$$

We note that for any $s \neq e$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$ the summation $\sum_{\varphi(n)=s} a_n$ in the last term of (2.9) is a positive infinite series with $\sum_{\varphi(n)=s} a_n = 1$. The last equality makes sense since we

can apply an ε-argument to replace such an infinite sum by a finite sum if necessary. So we can conclude from (2.9) that

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\sum_{|s|=k}(\sum_{\varphi(n)=s} a_n)\delta_s(x)\lambda_s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\sum_{|s|=k}\delta_s(x)\lambda_s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x).$$

This implies that for any $x \in C(\mathbb{T}) \cong C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x) = \lim_{K\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{K} P_k(x) = \lim_{K\to\infty}\sum_{j=-K}^{K} a_j z^j$$

converges uniformly in $C(\mathbb{T})$. This is impossible. At this moment, it is not known whether there is any unconditional frame for $C_r^*(F_2)$.

3. Cb-frame, CBAP and complemented embedding property

In this section, we prove that a separable operator space X has a cb-frame if and only if it has the CBAP if and only if it is completely isomorphic to a completely complemented subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis. We separate it to two results.

Theorem 3.1. *A separable operator space X has a cb-frame if and only if X has the CBAP.*

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious. We only need to prove the "if" part. The proof is motivated by Pełczyński's decomposition technique. Suppose that X has the CBAP. Then there is a sequence of finite-rank maps (Φ_k) on X such that $\sup_k \|\Phi_k\|_{cb} \leq K < \infty$ and $x = \lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi_k(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\Psi_1 = \Phi_1 = \Phi_1 - \Phi_0$ (with $\Phi_0 = 0$) and $\Psi_k = \Phi_k - \Phi_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 2$. Then (Ψ_k) is a sequence of finite-rank maps on X such that $\sup_k \|\Psi_k\|_{cb} \leq 2K < \infty$ and $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Psi_k(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $m(k)$ be the dimension of $\Psi_k(X)$. It is known from Auerbach theorem that there exists a biorthogonal basis $(y_{k,j}, y_{k,j}^*)_{1\leq j\leq m(k)}$ for $\Psi_k(X)$ such that $\|y_{k,j}\| \leq 1$, $\|y_{k,j}^*\| \leq 1$ and $\langle y_{k,i}, y_{k,j}^*\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. For each $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$, $g_{k,j} = y_{k,j}^* \circ \Psi_k$ is a bounded linear functional on X such that $\|g_{k,j}\| \leq 2K$. We get $(y_{k,j}, g_{k,j})_{1\leq j\leq m(k)}$ in $X \times X^*$ such that $\Psi_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} y_{k,j} \otimes g_{k,j}$ satisfying $\|y_{k,j}\| \leq 1$ and $\|g_{k,j}\| \leq 2K$. As we have discussed before Lemma 2.3, we define $x_{k,i} = y_{k,j}$ and $f_{k,i} = \frac{g_{k,j}}{m(k)^2}$ when $i = p \cdot m(k) + j$ with $0 \leq p \leq m(k)^2 - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$. Then we can use a similar argument as that given in Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 to show that if we let $x_n = x_{k,i}$ and $f_n = f_{k,i}$ when $n = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} m(r)^3 + i$ and $1 \leq i \leq m(k)^3$, then (x_n, f_n) is a cb-frame for X. □

Theorem 3.2. *An operator space X has a cb-frame if and only if X is completely isomorphic to a completely complemented subspace of an operator space with a cc-basis.*

Proof. The "if" part is obvious since every completely complemented subspace of an operator space with the CBAP always has the CBAP. We only need to prove the "only if" part. Let $(x_i, f_i) \in X \times X^*$ be a cb-frame of X with $\|x_i\| = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let c_{00} be the linear space of all sequences of complex numbers with finitely many nonzeros, and (e_i) be the canonical basis of c_{00}. For any $u \in \mathbb{M}_n(c_{00}) \cong c_{00}(\mathbb{M}_n)$, there is a unique linear expression $u = \sum u_i \otimes e_i$ with finitely many $u_i \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{M}_n$. We define a norm $|\!|\!| \cdot |\!|\!|_n$ on $\mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$ as follows:

$$|\!|\!|u|\!|\!|_n = \sup_{m\geq 1} \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n = \max_{m\geq 1} \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

More precisely for any $u, v \in \mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$, we have

$$|\!|\!|u+v|\!|\!|_n = \max_{m\geq 1} \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} (u_i+v_i) \otimes x_i\|_n \leq \max_{m\geq 1} (\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n + \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i \otimes x_i\|_n) \leq |\!|\!|u|\!|\!|_n + |\!|\!|v|\!|\!|_n.$$

If $|\!|\!|u|\!|\!|_n = 0$, then $\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction, we can conclude $u = 0$. This shows that each $|\!|\!| \cdot |\!|\!|_n$ is a norm on $\mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$. Moreover, $|\!|\!| \cdot |\!|\!|_n$ satisfies the following properties:

(N1) $|\!|\!|u \otimes e_i|\!|\!|_n = \|u \otimes x_i\|_n = \|u\|_n$ for all $u \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(N2) For any $u_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $m \leq l$, we have $|\!|\!| \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \otimes e_i|\!|\!|_n \leq |\!|\!| \sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i \otimes e_i|\!|\!|_n$.
(N3) For any $x \in X$, $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)e_i)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(c_{00}, |\!|\!| \cdot |\!|\!|_1)$.

Since (N1) and (N2) are obvious, we only need to prove (N3). Since (x_i, f_i) is a frame for X, for any $x \in X$, we have $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is n_0 such that, for any $m > n \geq n_0$, we have $\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} f_i(x)x_i\| < \epsilon$. Now if we let $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)e_i$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$|\!|\!|y_m - y_n|\!|\!|_1 = |\!|\!| \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} f_i(x)e_i|\!|\!|_1 = \max_{n+1\leq k\leq m} \| \sum_{i=n+1}^{k} f_i(x)x_i\| < \epsilon.$$

This shows (N3).

Now we prove that $|||\cdot|||_n$ is actually an operator space matrix norm. For $u = \sum u_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{M}_n(c_{00}), w = \sum w_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{M}_m(c_{00})$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{M}_n, \beta \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|||u \oplus w|||_{n+m} &= |||\sum (u_i \oplus w_i) \otimes e_i|||_{n+m} = \max_{l\geq 1} \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} (u_i \oplus w_i) \otimes x_i\|_{n+m} \\
&= \max_{l\geq 1} \|(\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i \otimes x_i) \oplus (\sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \otimes x_i)\|_{n+m} \\
&= \max_{l\geq 1} \max\Big\{ \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n, \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \otimes x_i\|_m \Big\} \\
&= \max\Big\{ \max_{l\geq 1} \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n, \max_{l\geq 1} \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \otimes x_i\|_m \Big\} \\
&= \max\{ |||u|||_n, |||w|||_m\}, \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|||\alpha u \beta|||_n &= |||\alpha(\sum u_i \otimes e_i)\beta|||_n = |||\sum (\alpha u_i \beta) \otimes e_i|||_n \\
&= \max_{l\geq 1} \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} (\alpha u_i \beta) \otimes x_i\|_n = \max_{l} \|\alpha(\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i \otimes x_i)\beta\|_n \\
&\leq \max_{l\geq 1} \|\alpha\|_n \|\sum_{i=1}^{l} u_i \otimes x_i\|_n \|\beta\|_n = \|\alpha\|_n |||u|||_n \|\beta\|_n. \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the abstract characterization theorem given in [16], this newly defined matricial norm $\{|||\cdot|||_n\}$ determines an operator space structure on c_{00}. We let $Y = {c_{00}}^{-|||\cdot|||}$ denote the completion. It is known from [5, Fact 6.3] that (e_i) is a basis for Y. According to (N2), (e_i) is actually a cc-basis for Y since for any $u = \sum u_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$, the natural projections P_m satisfy

$$\|(P_m)_n(u)\|_n = |||\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i \otimes e_i|||_n \leq |||u|||_n.$$

Now let us define a linear map

$$Q : \sum \alpha_i e_i \in c_{00} \to \sum \alpha_i x_i \in X. \qquad (3.4)$$

For any $u = \sum u_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$, we get

$$(Q)_n(u) = (Q)_n\big(\sum u_i \otimes e_i\big) = \sum u_i \otimes x_i$$

and

$$\|(Q)_n(u)\|_n = \|\sum u_i \otimes x_i\|_n \leq |||u|||_n$$

by (3.1). Together with (N1), we get $\|Q\|_{cb} = 1$, and Q can be uniquely extended to the whole space Y. On the other hand, we can define a linear map

$$T : x = \sum f_i(x)x_i \in X \to \sum f_i(x)e_i \in Y. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, by (N3), T is well-defined. For any $x = [x_{j,k}] \in \mathbb{M}_n(X)$, we have

$$(T)_n(x) \;=\; [\,T(x_{j,k})] = \big[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x_{j,k})e_i\big] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}[f_i(x_{j,k})] \otimes e_i$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |||(T)_n(x)|||_n &= |||\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}[f_i(x_{j,k})] \otimes e_i|||_n = \lim_{m\to\infty} |||\sum_{i=1}^{m}[f_i(x_{j,k})] \otimes e_i|||_n \\ &= \lim_{m\to\infty} \sup_{1\le l\le m} \Big\| \sum_{i=1}^{l}[f_i(x_{j,k})] \otimes x_i\Big\|_n \le \sup_{l\ge 1} \|S_l\|_{cb}\|x\|_n. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\|T\|_{cb} \le \sup_{l\ge 1} \|S_l\|_{cb} < \infty$. Moreover, for all $x \in X$,

$$QT(x) = Q\left(\sum f_i(x)e_i\right) = \sum f_i(x)x_i = x.$$

That is, $QT = \mathrm{id}_X$. It follows that Q is a surjection from Y onto X and that T is injection from X into Y. Since

$$\|x\|_n = \|(QT)_n(x)\|_n = \|(Q)_n(T)_n(x)\|_n \le |||(T)_n(x)|||_n$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{M}_n(X)$, T is a complete isomorphism from X onto $T(X) \subset Y$. Moreover, TQ is a completely bounded projection from Y onto $TQ(Y) = T(X)$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.3. Let G be a countable discrete group. Then by Theorem 3.1, G is weakly amenable, or equivalently the reduced group C*-algebra $C_r^*(G)$ has the CBAP, if and only if $C_r^*(G)$ has a cb-frame. Let $A(G)$ be the Fourier algebra of G. Then $A(G)$ has a canonial operator space structure obtained by identifying $A(G)$ with the operator predual of the left group von Neumann algebra $VN(G)$. It can be shown that G is weakly amenable if and only if $A(G)$ (respectively, $A(G)^{\mathrm{op}}$) has the CBAP and thus has a cb-frame. Then using the complex interpolation method, we can show that if G is weakly amenable, then for each $1 < p < \infty$ the non-commutative L_p -space $L_p(VN(G)) = (VN(G), A(G)^{\mathrm{op}})_{\frac{1}{p}}$ (with the canonical operator space structure intoduced by Pisier) has the CBAP and thus has a cb-frame. The converse statement is not necessarily true for non-commutative L_p -spaces. Indeed, it is known from [11, Proposition 5.2] that if a countable residually finite discrete group G has the AP, then $L_p(VN(G))$ has a cb-basis. This contains a very interesting class of groups. For instance it includes many weakly amenable groups such as $\mathbb{F}_n$, $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $Sp(1,n)$, as well as some non-weakly amenable groups like $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.4. It is shown in Theorem 3.2 that if X has a cb-frame (x_i, f_i), then we can construct an operator space Y with a cc-basis (e_i) and completely bounded maps Q and T satisfying (3.4) and (3.5). We note that such a cb-basis (e_i) in Y is a minimal choice. Suppose that we have another operator space Z with a cb-basis (z_i) and completely bounded maps satisfying (3.4) and (3.5), i.e.

$$Q_Z : \sum a_i z_i \in Z \to \sum a_i x_i \in X \text{ and } T_Z : x = \sum f_i(x) x_i \in X \to \sum f_i(x) z_i \in Z.$$

Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\!|\!| \sum u_i \otimes e_i |\!|\!|_n &= \max_{m\geq 1} \| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes x_i \|_n = \max_{m\geq 1} \| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes Q_Z(z_i) \|_n \\ &\leq \|Q_Z\|_{cb} \max_{m\geq 1} \| \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes z_i \|_n \leq \|Q_Z\|_{cb} K_Z \| \sum u_i \otimes z_i \|_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_Z = \sup_m \|P_m^Z\|_{cb}$ is the cb-constant of the cb-basis $\{z_i\}$. This shows that there is a constant $K = \|Q_Z\|_{cb} K_Z > 0$ such that

$$|\!|\!| \sum u_i \otimes e_i |\!|\!|_n \leq K \| \sum u_i \otimes z_i \|_n.$$

This shows that the cb-basis (e_i) in Y is completely dominated by such a cb-basis (z_i) in Z. Therefore, the cb-basis (e_i) in Y constructed in Theorem 3.2 is a minimal choice associated to the cb-frame (x_i, f_i) in X.

As in Banach space theory, we can also consider the unconditional case. We say that an unconditional basis (e_i) of an operator space Y is *completely unconditional* if

$$\sup_{E\subset\mathbb{N}, \#E<\infty} \|P_E\|_{cb} < \infty, \tag{3.6}$$

where E is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and P_E is the natural projection defined by $P_E(\sum \alpha_i e_i) = \sum_{i\in E} \alpha_i e_i$. We say that (e_i) is *completely 1-unconditional* if $\sup_{E\subset\mathbb{N}, \#E<\infty} \|P_E\|_{cb} = 1$, or equivalently, $\|P_E\|_{cb} = 1$ for any finite subset $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ (see [12]). An unconditional frame (x_i, f_i) of an operator space X (see Remark 2.5) is *completely unconditional* if

$$\sup_{E\subset\mathbb{N}, \#E<\infty} \|S_E\|_{cb} < \infty, \tag{3.7}$$

where S_E is the natural partial sum map defined by $S_E(x) = \sum_{i\in E} f_i(x) x_i$.

The following result is the unconditional version of Theorem 3.2, which is also the operator space version of Theorem 3.6 in [2]

Theorem 3.5. *An operator space X has a completely unconditional cb-frame if and only if X is completely isomorphic to a completely complemented subspace of an operator space with a completely 1-unconditional cb-basis.*

Proof. Because the whole proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2, we only give out the construction of the matrix norm. Without loss of generality, we assume that (x_i, f_i) is a completely unconditional cb-frame of X with $\|x_i\| = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (e_i) be the unit vector basis of c_{00}. For any $u \in \mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$, there is a unique linear expression $u = \sum u_i \otimes e_i$ where $u_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ we can define

$$|||u|||_n = |||\sum u_i \otimes e_i|||_n = \max_{E\subset\mathbb{N},\, \#E<\infty} \big\| \sum_{i\in E} u_i \otimes x_i \big\|_n.$$

It is easy to verify that $|||\cdot|||_n$ is a norm on $\mathbb{M}_n(c_{00})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which satisfy

(**U1**) $|||u \otimes e_i|||_n = \|u \otimes x_i\|_n = \|u\|_n\|x_i\|$ for all $u \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

(**U2**) For any $u_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\#E < \infty$, we have

$$|||\sum_{i\in E} u_i \otimes e_i|||_n \le |||\sum u_i \otimes e_i|||_n,$$

(**U3**) For any $x \in X$, $(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)e_i)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(c_{00}, |||\cdot|||_1)$.

Then the rest of proof is similar to that given for Theorem 3.2. □

4. Subspaces of operator spaces with a cb-basis

The Banach-Mazur theorem shows that every separable Banach space can be isometrically embedded into $C[0, 1]$. Therefore, every separable Banach space can be isometrically embedded into a Banach space with a Schauder basis. However, the corresponding result is not true for operator spaces. We show in Theorem 4.5 that the Hilbertian operator space X_0 constructed by Oikhberg and Ricard [13] can not be completely isomorphic to any subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis (or with a cb-frame).

Let us first develop some notations and preliminary results. Most of these are motivated from Banach space theory. Let X be an operator space. A sequence $(x_i) \subset X$ is called a *cb-basic sequence* in X if (x_i) is a cb-basis of $\overline{\text{span}}(x_i)$, the norm closure of $\text{span}(x_i)$ in X. Thus, any cb-basis is a cb-basic sequence. Fix a sequence $(x_i) \subset X$, then a sequence $(y_i) \subset X$ is said to be a *block sequence* of (x_i) if there exists a sequence of positive integers $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \cdots$ such that $y_n \in \text{span}(x_i)_{i=m_n}^{m_{n+1}-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be an operator space. Then a sequence (e_j) in X is a cb-basic sequence if and only if there is a constant $K \ge 1$ such that for any $u_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $m \le l$, we have*

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^m u_j \otimes e_j\|_n \le K\|\sum_{j=1}^l u_j \otimes e_j\|_n. \tag{4.1}$$

As a consequence, any block sequence of a cb-basic sequence is a cb-basic sequence.

Proof. The necessity is clear. For sufficiency, we only need to prove that (e_j) is a cb-basis of $\overline{\text{span}}(e_j)$. By [5, Proposition 6.13], (e_j) is a basis of $\overline{\text{span}}(e_j)$. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(u_i) \subset \mathbb{M}_n$ with finitely many $u_i \neq 0$, it follows from (4.1) that

$$\|(P_m)_n(\sum_j u_j \otimes e_j)\|_n = \|\sum_{j=1}^m u_j \otimes e_j\|_n \leq K\|\sum_j u_j \otimes e_j\|_n.$$

This shows that $\sup_m \|P_m\|_{cb} \leq K$, and thus, (e_j) is a cb-basic sequence in X. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be an operator space with a cb-basis (x_i). If Y is an infinite-dimensional closed subspace of X, then Y contains a cb-basic sequence.*

Proof. Let K be the cb-basic constant of (x_i). Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_p be the finite-codimensional subspace of X defined by

$$W_p = \Big\{x \in X : x = \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} a_i x_i\Big\} = \overline{\text{span}(x_i)}_{i>p}.$$

Then $W_p \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, so there is $y \in Y \cap W_p$ with $\|y\| = 1$. First, choose an arbitrary $y_1 \in \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^1 x_i \in Y$ with $\|y_1\| = 1$. Find $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $u_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} a_i^1 x_i \in X$ we have $\|y_1 - u_1\| < \frac{1}{4K}$. Choose $y_2 = \sum_{i=p_1+1}^{\infty} a_i^2 x_i \in Y \cap W_{p_1}$ with $\|y_2\| = 1$, and fix $p_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $u_2 = \sum_{i=p_1+1}^{p_2} a_i^2 x_i$ we have $\|y_2 - u_2\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^2 K}$. Using an induction procedure we obtain a block cb-basic sequence (u_j) of (x_i). Let (u_j^*) be the biorthogonal functionals of (u_j). Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u_j^*\| \cdot \|y_j - u_j\| < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2K \cdot \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^j K} < 1,$$

by Lemma 2.13.2 in [15], there is a complete isomorphism $R : X \to X$ such that $R(u_j) = y_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $v_j \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $m \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|\sum_{j=1}^m v_j \otimes y_j\big\|_n &\leq \|R\|_{cb}\big\|\sum_{j=1}^m v_j \otimes u_j\big\|_n \leq K\|R\|_{cb}\big\|\sum_{j=1}^l v_j \otimes u_j\big\|_n \\ &\leq K\|R\|_{cb}\|R^{-1}\|_{cb}\big\|\sum_{j=1}^l v_j \otimes y_j\big\|_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Proposition 4.1, $(y_j) \subset Y$ is a cb-basic sequence. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a separable operator space. Then following are equivalent:*

(i) *X is completely isomorphic to a subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis;*
(ii) *X is completely isomorphic to a subspace of an operator space with a cb-frame*
(iii) *X is completely isomorphic to a subspace of a (not necessarily separable) operator space with the CBAP.*

Proof. It is obvious that (i)⇒(ii) and (i) ⇒ (iii).

(ii) ⇒ (i) Suppose that X is completely isomorphic to a subspace of an operator space Y with a cb-frame. By Theorem 3.2, Y is completely isomorphic to a completely complemented subspace of an operator space Z with a cb-basis. Then we can conclude from the following completely isomorphic embeddings

$$X \hookrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow Z.$$

that X is completely isomorphic to a subspace of Z with a cb-basis.

(iii) ⇒ (i) If the operator space with the CBAP is separable, then it has a cb-frame by Theorem 3.1 and thus we can get the result by (i) ⇔ (ii). If the operator space with the CBAP is non-separable, we can obtain the result by the following lemma. □

Lemma 4.4. *If X is an operator space with the λ-CBAP, then, for every separable subspace Y of X, there is a separable subspace $\tilde{Y}$ of X which contains Y and has the λ-CBAP.*

Proof. Let Y be a separable subspace of X. There is a sequence (y_n) which is dense in Y. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $Y_n = \operatorname{span}\{y_k\}_{k=1}^n$. Then $\{Y_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of Y whose union is dense in Y. Since B_{Y_1} is compact, there is a finite-rank map F_1 on X with $\|F_1\|_{cb} \leq \lambda$ and $\|F_1(y) - y\| < 1$ for all $y \in B_{Y_1}$. Let $\tilde{Y}_2 = \operatorname{span} Y_2 \cup F_1(X)$. Then there is a finite-rank map F_2 on X with $\|F_2\|_{cb} \leq \lambda$ and $\|F_2(y) - y\| < 1/2$ for all $y \in B_{\tilde{Y}_2}$. Set $\tilde{Y}_3 = \operatorname{span} Y_3 \cup F_1(X) \cup F_2(X)$. Then there is a finite-rank map F_3 on X with $\|F_3\|_{cb} \leq \lambda$ and $\|F_3(y) - y\| < 1/3$ for all $y \in B_{\tilde{Y}_3}$. Applying the procedure to $\tilde{Y}_3$ and F_3 gives $\tilde{Y}_4$ and F_4 and so on by induction. Let

$$\tilde{Y_n} = \operatorname{span}(Y_n \cup \cup_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k(X)).$$

Then there is a finite-rank map F_n on X with

$$\|F_n\|_{cb} \leq \lambda, \quad \|F_n(y) - y\| < \frac{1}{n}, \forall\, y \in B_{\tilde{Y_n}}.$$

So $\{\tilde{Y_n}\}$ is an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of X, and define $\tilde{Y}$ to be the closure of $\cup_{n=2}^{\infty} \tilde{Y_n}$, which is a separable subspace of X with $Y \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ and $F_n(\tilde{Y}) \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(y) = y$ for all $y \in \tilde{Y}$. Then $\tilde{Y}$ has the λ-CBAP. □

Now we are ready to prove our main result in this section. In [13], Oikhberg and Ricard constructed a separable Hilbertian operator space X_0, which is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$, but every infinite-dimensional closed subspace of X_0 fails to have the *operator space approximation property* (*OAP*).

Theorem 4.5. *The Oikhberg-Ricard space X_0 can not be completely isomorphic to any subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis (or with a cb-frame).*

Proof. Suppose that X_0 is completely isomorphic to an (infinite-dimensional) subspace Y of an operator space Z with a cb-basis (or with a cb-frame). It is known from Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 that Y must have a cb-basic sequence. It follows that X_0 has a cb-basic sequence (e_j). Then $\overline{\text{span}}(e_j)$ is an infinite-dimensional closed subspace of X_0 having the CBAP. This contradicts to Oikhberg-Ricard's result since CBAP implies OAP (see [4]). □

Remark 4.6. Finally we note that every 1-exact separable operator space is completely isomorphic to a subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis. To see this let us recall from [3] that if X is a 1-exact separable operator space, then it is completely isometric to a subspace of a 1-nuclear separable operator space Y. Then by Propoosition 4.3, X is completely isomorphic to a subspace of an operator space with a cb-basis.

References

[1] A. Buchholz, Norm of convolution by operator-valued functions on free groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999) 1671-1682.

[2] P.G. Casazza, D. Han and D.R. Larson, Frames for Banach spaces, Contemp. Math. 247 (1999) 149-182.

[3] E. Effros, N. Ozawa and Z. Ruan, On injectivity and nuclearity for operator spaces, Duke Math. J. 110 (2001) 489-521.

[4] E. Effros and Z. Ruan, Operator spaces, London Mathematical Society Monographs New Series, 23, Oxford Science Publications, 2000.

[5] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V.M. Santalucía, J. Pelant and V. Zizler, Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry, CMS books in mathematics 8, Springer, 2001.

[6] U. Haagerup, An example of a nonnuclear C*-algebra, which has the metric approximation property, Invent. Math. 50 (1978/79), no. 3, 279-293.

[7] U. Haagerup and J. Kraus, Approximation properties for group C^*-algebras and group von Neumann algebras, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994), no. 2, 667-699.

[8] D. Han and D. R. Larson, Frames, bases and group representations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 697, 2000.

[9] W.B. Johnson, H.P. Rosenthal and M. Zippin, On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 488-506.

[10] M. Junge, N. Nielsen, Z. Ruan and Q. Xu, $\mathscr{COL}_p$ spaces—the local structure of noncommutative L_p spaces, Advances in Math. 187 (2004), 257-319.

[11] M. Junge and Z. Ruan, Approximation properties for noncommutative L_p-spaces associated with discrete groups, Duke Math. J. 117 (2003), 313-341.

[12] T. Oikhberg, Operator spaces with complete bases, lacking completely unconditional bases, Houston J. Math. 32 (2006) 551-561.

[13] T. Oikhberg and E. Ricard, Operator spaces with few completely bounded maps, Math. Ann. 328 (2004), 229-259.

[14] A. Pełczyński, Any separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property is a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a basis, Studia Math. 40 (1971), 239-243.
[15] G. Pisier, Introduction to operator space theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 294, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
[16] Z. Ruan, Subspaces of C^*-algebras, J. Func. Anal. 76 (1988) 217-230.

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China
E-mail address: ruiliu@nankai.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
E-mail address: ruan@math.uiuc.edu